

Sinclair's use of public airways to air clearly political material intended to influence voters in November's presidential election can only be perceived as a partisan abuse of the public trust. Perhaps if they were willing to air dissenting opinions, presenting a balanced commentary, this could be seen as legitimate news. As it stands now, this can only be perceived as corporate misuse of the airwaves, and a legitimate reason to examine their right to a license.

Thank you for your attention to my concerns.
Peter Fremming